

# THE UNITED STRATES OF AMERICA

# Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station

Chereas, there has been presented to the

## Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, or importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different riety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act.

18 United States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as of certified seed and (2) shall conform to the number of generations by the Owner of the rights. (84 Stat. 1542, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

#### SOYBEAN

'Manokin'

In Festimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Bariety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this 29th day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-four.

Jenneth Horans

Attest

Ocamissioner
Plant Variety Protection Office
Agricultural Marketing Service

Secretary of Agriculture

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF A AGRICULTURAL MARKE	AGRICULTURE TING SERVICE				equired in order to
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIET		N CERTIFICA	TE	certificate is to be	ant variety protection issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). eld confidential until d (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DI		3. VARIETY NAME	
Maryland Agricultural Experiment Sta	tion	Md 83-500	=	Manokin	
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. PHONE (Include	area code)	FOR OFFICE	IAL USE ONLY
Maryland Institute for Agriculture &				PVPO NUMBER	
Natural Resources	1 1	(301) 405-	-1210	9	200075
1116 Symons Hall, University of Mary College Park, MD 20742	Tand				
Correge Tark, mb 20742				F Date	. 22. 1992
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Botal	nical)		L Time	
Glycine max (L.) Merr.	Leguminosae			N G	A.M P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)		DATE OF DETERMINA	TION		Examination Fee:
Soybean	į.	ovember, 198		E \$2150	o
		_		S Date	
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGA	NIZATION (Corporation, pa	rtnership, association,	etc.)	- L//	.22,1992
State Experiment Station				C Certificate	
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	12. [	ATE OF INCORPORATI	ON ·	'	
			•	E apri	014,1994
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO	SERVE IN THIS APPLICAT	ION AND RECEIVE ALL	PAPERS		/ /
Dr. William J. Kenworthy					•
Agronomy Department					
University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742		(201)	/OF 100/		
		( 3 PHONE	405-1324 (Include area code	e):	
CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Fol.     Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.	ow INSTRUCTIONS on rev	erse)			
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.					
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.					
d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.					•
e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownersh	ip.				
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed	Sample mailed to Plant	Variety Protection Of	fice <u>[-22</u> -	ラン·	
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "					
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SO Protection Act.)  X YES (If "YES." answer items 16 and 17 be		LY AS A CLASS OF CEF NO," skip to item 18 be		e section 83(a) of the	Plant Variety
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?		TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLA		CTION BEYOND BREE	DER SEED?
X YES NO	· i —	UNDATION	X REGISTE	RED	X CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VA	RIFTY IN THE U.S.?				
	TT-			•	
YES (If "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act	Patent Act. Give d	ate:	}		
X NO					•
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR M	ARKETED IN THE U.S. OR	OTHER COUNTRIES?			
$\overline{X}$ YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates) $U.S.$	Release date-	August 1, 1	991		<i>i</i>
NO					
(2)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<ol> <li>The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic se request in accordance with such regulations as may be appl</li> </ol>	eds of this variety wil icable	l be furnished with	the application	n and will be rep	lenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this		novel plant variet	v. and believe	(s) that the varie	etv is distinct
uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitle	d to protection under	the provisions of se	ction 42 of the P	lant Variety Pro	tection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation her	ein can jeopardize pro	tection and result i	n penalties.		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR	TITLE		DATE	
1 511 - (V ) / 1	Plant I	Breeder & Pr	ofessor	Jan. 21	,1992
Willia Kensot					·
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT [Owner(s)]	CAPACITY OR	TITLE	ne la su	DATE	
130mas Dalance	2000		14.	17.	22 199
FORM CSSD-470 (5-89) Edition of FORM CS-470, 3-86, is obsolete.	110	1117		1 /2 m	Mi Or of 111
		*	*		

#### EXHIBIT A - ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY

#### 'MANOKIN' SOYBEAN

#### Amended - May 12, 1993

MANOKIN is a  $F_4$ -derived plant selection from the cross L70-L3048 x D74-7824. L70-L3048 was a selection from a cross of L15 (Wayne  $\mathrm{Rps}_1$ ) x D64-3146 made at the University of Illinois. D64-3146 was a selection from a backcross of D49-2491 (sister line of Lee) (5) x Hawkeye made at the USDA station in Stoneville, MS. The other parent of MANOKIN, D74-7824, was a selection from a cross of Forrest x D70-3001. D70-3001 is of the same parentage as Centennial. This cross was also made at Stoneville, MS.

The original cross was made at the Wye Research and Education Center, Queenstown, MD, during the summer of 1980. The  $F_1$  plants were grown in the University of Maryland greenhouse complex to produce  $F_2$  seeds. The  $F_2$  progeny were advanced to the  $F_4$  generation by single seed descent in Maryland and Puerto Rico.  $F_4$ -derived lines were evaluated in Maryland in 1983, and Md83-5008 was identified as having a desirable plant type, resistance to a field population of cyst nematode, and uniform plant height, flower color, and pubescence color. Md83-5008 was tested for yielding ability in Maryland during 1984, in the Mid-Atlantic Regional Soybean Tests during 1985, and in the Southern Regional Soybean Tests from 1986-1991.

Breeder seed of MANOKIN was obtained by combining three sublines of Md83-5008 that were uniform in cyst nematode resistance, plant type, plant maturity, and color of flowers, pubescence, and pod wall. Md83-5008 was designated MANOKIN and foundation seed was produced in 1991 by foundation seed organizations in states participating in its release. Foundation seed will be distributed to certified seed growers for planting in 1992.

Observations indicate MANOKIN is uniform and stable within commercially acceptable limits. As is true with other soybean varieties, a small percentage of off-types or variants can occur within commercially acceptable limits for almost any characteristics during the course of repeated multiplication. Foundation seed of MANOKIN can contain up to 0.25% off-types for flower color, hilum color, pubescence color, or peroxidase reaction.

EXHIBIT A - Manokin Continued

 ${\tt MANOKIN}$  has shown evidence of stability. The attached data are indicative of a stable variety.

				<u>nal Su</u>	nmary of U	<u>Iniform</u>	Test I	V-S		
	Yield, Bu/A				Maturity	Seed Plant		Seed	Seed Composition	
	EC	UC	D	W	Days	Size	Ht.	Quality	Protein	Oil
						(g/100	) (In.)	(Score)	(%)	(%)
***************************************					198	39		· ·	<del> </del>	
No of Tests	2	7	7	7	16	10	21	16	10	10
Douglas	48.4	32.5	28.7	41.4	128	19.0	30	3.0	40.9	21.3
Stafford	43.7	45.8	46.3	40.5	135	13.1	29	1.4	39.6	21.3
MANOKIN	47.5	47.8	54.5	39.7	137	13.8	32	1.7	39.0	21.1
				- Maria - 1	7 7		•			
- Miles	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1987-	·1989, 3 y	ear mea	ans			
No of Tests	6	20	19	19	47	28	61	51	28	28
Douglas	37.4	31.5	35.0	42.7	128	18.5	31	3.0	41.0	21.6

\*Score: 1=Best to 5=Worst

41.2

43.1

45.5

50.4

37.6

40.0

Stafford

MANOKIN

EC=East Coast, UC=Upper and Central South, D=Delta, W=West

44.0

43.8

133

134

13.7

13.8

29

31

1.7

1.8

39.5

38.7

21.7

21.4

#### EXHIBIT B - NOVELTY STATEMENT

#### MANOKIN SOYBEAN

To our knowledge MANOKIN most nearly resembles Douglas and Stafford. Differences include, but are not necessarily restricted to the following:

Manokin compared to Douglas and Stafford

- 1. Manokin has resistance to races 1 and 3 of soybean cyst nematode and has resistance to root-knot nematodes while Douglas and Stafford are susceptible to both nematode species.
- 2. Manokin (79 cm) is taller than Stafford (74 cm) and lodges more than either Stafford or Douglas.
- 3. Manokin is 6 days later in maturity then Douglas and 1 day later than Stafford.
- 4. Manokin is lower in seed protein (38.7%) than either Stafford (39.5%) or Douglas (41.0%).
- 5. Manokin (14g/100) has smaller seed than Douglas (19g/100).
- 6. Manokin has a determinate plant habit while Douglas has an indeterminate plant habit.
- 7. Manokin has white flowers, tan pods, and brown pubescence while Douglas has white flowers, brown pods, and brown pubescence; and Stafford has purple flowers, tan pods, and gray pubescence.

EXHIBIT C (Soybean)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

## OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

and the second s	AN (GIYCINE MAX L.)	
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME
Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station	Md 83-5008	Manokin
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Coo Maryland Institute for Agriculture and Na	de)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
1116 Symons Hall, University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742	rearar Resources	9200075
Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the varin your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided, Starred characters ** are considered fundamental to an adeq when information is available.	place a zero in the first box	when number is 9 or less (e.g., 0 9).
1. SEED SHAPE:		
1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)		I (L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2) (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black	(Specify)
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)		
1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebso	oy'; 'Gasoy 17')	
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)		
1 4 Grams per 100 seeds		entropia de la companya de la compa
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)	Harana a calculation and a galaxy as	
6 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown 4	4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect Bl	ack 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 = Yellow 2 = Green		en e
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:		
1 = Low 2 = High		
9 CEED PROTEIN EL COTRODIADEZA DAND		
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:  1 = Type A (SP1 <sup>a</sup> )  2 = Type B (SP1 <sup>b</sup> )	and the second of the second o	
	en e	and the second of the second of the second
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:		
1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71') 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; '	bronze band below cotyledons ( Coker Hampton 266A')	'Woodworth'; 'Tracy')
IO. LEAFLET SHAPE:		
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	4 = Other (Specify)	

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83)

(Edition of 2-82 is obsolete.)

	Market and the second s	en e	9200075
11. LEA	AFLET SIZE:		
3	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')	2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 1'	('7
· 			
12. LEA	AF COLOR:		
2	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')	2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Bo	
		and the second of the second o	The second secon
3. FLO	WER COLOR:		
1	1 = White 2 = Purple	3 = White with purple throat	e de la companya de l
4. POD	COLOR:		
1	1 = Tan 2 = Brown	3 = Black	en e
5 PI A	NT PUBESCENCE COLOR:		
, ( E/II	<b>n</b>		
2	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)		en e
6. PLAN	NT TYPES:	A Section 1997 And American	The state of the s
3	1 = Stender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')	2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton	
:			
0 7	URITY GROUP:  1 = 000		8 = V
	Control and the Control of the Contr		
	ASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = \$ TERIAL DISEASES:  Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli va Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)		
	Constituting for the constitution of the const	enter esta a como como como como en esta en esta de desta desta de entre de la como esta de la como esta de la La como esta de la como esta en esta e	The second of th
0 FUNG	Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci) AL DISEASES:	through the sale appears on the second	The two first state of the second states of the second second second second second second second second second The two first second se
0	Brown Spot (Septoria glycines)		and the second of the second o
	Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)		\$1,000 DODAS
0		ce 3 Race 4 0 Race 6	
0	Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)		RECEIVED
0	Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum yar	: manshurica)	RECEIVED AMS
0	Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)		3.6
0	Brown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatum		JAN a projection Ofc
0	Stem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. ca	nulivoral	6 Protection

19	DISEA	SE REACTION	i: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 =	Resistant) (Continued)	gyttää ja jakkin Kiinten kinnen yhtyy kiin kiin kiin kiin kiin kiin kiin ki
	FUN	GAL DISEASE	S: (Continued)		
*	0	Pod and Sten	n Blight (Diaporthe phaseolorum var; sojae)		·
	0	Purple Seed S	Stain (Cercospora kikuchii)		
	0	Rhizoctonia	Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)		
		Phytophthor	a Rot (Phytophthora megasperma var. sojae)		
*	2	Race 1	0 Race 2 0 Race 3 0	Race 4 0 Race 5	0 Race 6 0 Race 7
	0	Race 8	0 Race 9 Other (Specify)		
	VIRA	AL DISEASES:			
	0	Bud Blight (7	obacco Ringspot Virus)		
	0		c (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)		
*	0		ic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)		
	0		Bean Pod Mottle Virus)		
*			·	•	
	NEMA	Seed Mottle (	Soybean Mosaic Virus)		
	MEIM				
		Г	Nematode (Heterodera glycines)		
· 🛪	. [2]	Race 1	0 Race 2 2 Race 3 1	Race 4 Other (S	Specify)
	0	•	ode (Hoplolaimus Colombus)		
$\star$	2		t Knot Nematode ( <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> )		
*	2	Northern Roo	t Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne Hapla)		
	2	Peanut Root I	Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne arenaria)		
•	0	Reniform Ner	natode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)		
•		OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):		
20	PHYSIO	LOGICAL RE	SPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Suscep	tibles 2 = Desistant	
±. ★	0		on Calcareous Soil	tible; 2 – Hesistant/	
			Salt tolerance = Lee	. •	
01	LUSEST				
۷۱.	O	and consisting the	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Re		
		$L_{\rm total} = 8 \times 5000$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the more security of an exercise of the project	Programme of the filter of
		anne ga makantan	Corbon looper	er er stade ett på skypadisk og er still	Segue a la companya de la companya d
	Ш	Other (Specify	) Soybean looper	ATES A PARTIES FAIRE	
22.	INDICAT	TE WHICH VA	RIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THA	T SUBMITTED.	
		ACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
	Plant Sha		Douglas	Seed Coat Luster	Essex
	_eaf Shap		Essex	Seed Size	Stafford
	eaf Colo	)F	Ripley	Seed Shape	Williams 82
	eaf Size		Douglas	Seedling Pigmentation	- Williams

#### 23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS MATURITY	PLANT LODGING SCORE	CM PLANT HEIGHT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS/
				CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD
Manokin Submitted	134	2.4	79		i vezz,	38.7	21.4	14	2.2*
Stafford Name of Similar Variety	133	1.6	5 . <b>74</b> v s i			39.5	21.7	:14:	2.1*

### PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

\*Average 3 plants

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A2 in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19:

TON 180 TITLE

#### EXHIBIT E - STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF APPLICANT'S OWNERSHIP

The variety MANOKIN was developed by Dr. William J. Kenworthy, an employee of the University of Maryland whose research program and salary is primarily funded by the Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station, Maryland Institute for Agriculture and Natural Resources. By agreement between the employee and the Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station, all rights to any variety or germplasm developed by an employee are assigned to the Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station. No rights to such varieties or germplasm are retained by the employee.